Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/750,510	OH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steve Cha</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 July 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-5		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
*		
for 1 7/2//25		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To remove 112 issues, Applicant agreed to the following Examiner proposed amendment:

In claim 1, after "fumed silica", - - to form a resulting mixture- - was added.

In claim 2, after "added in the", "preparation" was deleted. In claim 3, after "added in the", "preparation" was deleted. In claim 4, after "added in the", "preparation" was deleted.

In claim 5, after "agent used in the", "mixing" was deleted..